## LISTER HOUSING CO-OPERATIVE LTD

## STRATEGIC RISK MATRIX FOR LISTER

v8 November 2019

Likelihood = likelihood of occurrence of event

Severity = potential severity of consequences should an event occur

| Risk<br>category      | Hazard   | Risk   | Likelihood | Severity | Control action   |
|-----------------------|--|--|------------|----------|--|
| Political             | Change in housing benefit legislation (Westminster)  | All tenants have to pay contribution to rent, causing rise in arrears and admin. | Medium     | Medium   | Everyone in the same situation, therefore lobbying through SFHA main focus.  |
|                       | Change in housing legislation (Scottish parliament)  | Adverse effect on organisation   | Low        | Low      | Monitor and liaise through SFHA  |
|                       | Change in priorities or resources of key partners (e.g. Scottish Housing Regulator, CEC Housing) | Reduction in funds for work such as Adaptations                                  | Low        | Low      | Monitor closely and participate in SFHA / Edinburgh Affordable Housing Partnership. Lister does not depend on grant for its normal activities.       |
|                       |  |  | N.4. II    |          |  |
| Economic              | Rise in interest rates, Rise in inflation  | Increased costs  | Medium     | Low      | Rents can be changed to reflect interest rates. Volatility of rates reduced in recent years. Inflation hits tenants' incomes.                        |
|                       | Recession means tenants income reduce / more arrears   | Reduction in income / cash flow/increase court work                              | Medium     | Medium   | We need to continue to monitor arrears and work with tenants closely   |
| Welf                  | Welfare reform changes   | Impact on income and increase in staff time                                      | High       | Medium   | Bedroom tax and changes to non-dep deductions introduced already. Universal Credit already producing many challenges.                                |
|                       |  |  |            |          |  |
| Socio-<br>demographic | Change in socio economic profile   | Less demand for our housing  | Low        | Low      | Unlikely to happen in near future  |
|                       | Ageing population  | More demand for adaptations and specialist services                              | Medium     | Low      | Lister has always looked to other agencies for specialist services as well as the individuals/families concerned. Adaptations are manageable so far. |
|                       | Less demand for shared flats and bedsits   | Tenant dissatisfaction, higher voids   | Medium     | Low      | Lister to monitor flat sizes and if appropriate in future, consider alterations  |

| Technological  | Growth of web based services  | Reduction of service   | Medium | Low    | Lister to keep in touch with developments  |
|----------------|---|--|--------|--------|--|
|                | Reliance on bigger computing power  | Reduction in service; need for investment  | Medium | Low    | It is the internet service/speed/reliability that is crucial rather than raw power.  |
| Legislative    | Legal action over Human<br>Rights act   | Cost and bad publicity of litigation. Demands on management time                                   | Low    | Medium | To keep close eye on developments.   |
|                | Freedom of Information (Scotland) 2002  | Demands on time. Release of sensitive information  | Low    | Medium | To be proactive with our DPO consultant.  To think 'It will be releasable' when writing  |
|                | GDPR data protection legislation  | Accidental release of personal information - impact on regulation / fines. Loss tenant confidence. | Medium | Medium | We are planning as much as we can on this topic but there is a backlog on the deletionings. We have a good DPO consultancy service assisting us. |
| Environmental  | Damage to buildings due to  | Direct costs and any knock-  | Low    | Low    | Less likely in city centre location. To  |
|                | natural disaster  Traffic congestion and pollution affect households          | on costs inc. temp rehousing Reduction in health and quality of life of tenants                    | Medium | Low    | monitor any structural problems  Continue push for car-free schemes and public transport issues, as well as road safety issues.                  |
|                | Road charging in city centre  | Increase costs and increase difficulty of retaining contractors                                    | Medium | Medium | Monitor situation and ensure tenants and contractors kept informed. Should help reduce traffic and pollution problems.                           |
| Competitive    | Other HAs attract development funding   | Lack of development activity   | Low    | Low    | Lister has implicitly decided to not develop further unless special opportunity arises   |
|                | Lack of land for future development   | Lack of development activity   | High   | Low    | See above.   |
|                |   |  |        |        |  |
| Tenant/Citizen | Drop in demand for less attractive flats                                      | Loss of rental income, more voids/difficulty letting   | Low    | Low    | Lister to address this if it becomes a serious problem   |
|                | Demand for better services coupled with less community involvement by tenants | More pressure on organisation but less support from tenant group                                   | Low    | Medium | To maintain high service standards and look at new ways of involving tenants   |
|                | More alienation by children at Lister and neighbouring children               | More vandalism; loss of amenity; dissatisfaction by other tenants; staff demands                   | Low    | Medium | Put resources into facilities and activities.  |

## LISTER HOUSING CO-OPERATIVE LTD

## OPERATIONAL RISK MATRIX FOR LISTER

Likelihood = likelihood of occurrence of event. Severity = potential severity of consequences should an event occur

| Risk category | Hazard  | Risk   | Likelihood   | Severity | Control action  |
|---------------|---|--|--|----------|---|
| Professional  | Neighbourhood disputes  | Community safety; adverse effects on image, community confidence             | Low  | Medium   | To maintain good housing management role and be pro-active if possible.                                       |
|               | Anti-social tenants   | Pressure on staff resources; effect on neighbours                            | Low  | Medium   | As above; Publicise complaints charter and good neighbour advice  |
|               | Crime/disorder  | Injury to tenants; Damage to buildings and contents; increase insurance risk | Low  | Medium   | Use good design to tackle issue where possible. Continue good links with community and police.                |
|               |   |  |  |          |   |
| Financial     | Increase in arrears/reducing rent receipts  | Increasing arrears; higher debt for tenants; pressure on staff resources     | Medium   | Medium   | Maintain close contact and control over high risk tenants.  |
|               | Introduction of Universal Credit impacts on arrears and income flows  Higher arrears, lower income, greater staff time, more court action, legal cost | Medium   | Staff training; Information and advice to tenants; Liaison with DWP, JobCentre Plus organisations; links with advice agencies. |          |   |
|               | Failure to claim grants / make insurance claims / gain income   | Loss of income; adverse effect on project if dependent upon grant            | Low  | Low      | Good policies and procedures reduce this risk considerably.   |
|               | High number/amount of claims affect premiums  | Much higher premiums - higher costs.   | Medium   | Medium   | We have had a good claims record but two high claims (two fires) had increased premiums. To be ever vigilant. |
|               |   |  |  |          |   |
| Physical      | Violence to staff/tenants   | Injury; Compensation claims.   | Low  | High     | Security alterations at office to help reduce risks. Training important.                                      |
|               | Staff stress  | Health of staff; sick leave  | Low  | Medium   | Monitor closely   |
|               | Vandalism   | Damage to property and contents  | Medium   | Low      | Action taken quickly to restore vandal damage, to restore normality   |
|               | Security of void flats  | Damage; delays to letting times  | Low  | Low      | Low voids and sensible security measures taken already  |

|                              | Fire in property  | Injury/death to occupants; damage to building   | Low | High              | Installed mains operated smoke detectors. Publicise good fire safety advice.   |
|------------------------------|---|---|-----|-------------------|--|
| Contractual /<br>Procurement | Sub contractor failures   | Threat to service; Tenant dissatisfaction; staff time   | Low | Medium            | We work with well established contractors normally but to monitor closely  |
|                              | Contractor goes bankrupt  | As above  | Low | Medium            | As above   |
|                              | Procurement activity has legal challenge                          | Adverse impact on ability to proceed with contract. Impact of any litigation. Reputational risk | Low | Medium<br>to high | We take professional advice where appropriate and use approved procurement channels. Keep staff training and skill levels as good as possible. |
|                              | Procurement procedures not followed and regulatory queries raised | As above but regulatory impacts   | Low | Medium            | We document decisions made regarding procurement. We review and update procedures regularly.   |
|                              | Asbestos affect contractor's employee                             | Threat of legal action, damages, bad publicity  | Low | Medium            | We have an Asbestos management system and all known asbestos recorded.   |
| Technological                | System/server failure   | Disruption to service; staff time; cost new equipment   | Low | Medium            | Use good quality equipment. Investigate any faults   |
|                              |   | Software failure/corruption   | Low | Medium            | Continue back up strategy. Investigate options for improving software  |
|                              | Disaster (IT or otherwise)  | Disruption to staff, service, performance etc   | Low | High              | To maintain our Business Continuity Plan   |
| Environmental                | Inefficient insulation etc of flats                               | Costs to tenants of heat loss, cost of heating flats  | Low | Low               | We try and go for any practical, cost effective energy efficiency measure that can be done in the flats.                                       |
|                              | Effect on tenants from injurious product                          | Injury; Compensation claim  | Low | Low               | We are careful about products used.  |
|                              | Effect on tenant from asbestos at Lister                          | Legal risk, compensation, bad publicity   | Low | Medium            | We have an Asbestos management system and all known asbestos recorded  |
| Personnel                    | Key staff injured/ill/die   | Disruption to service; Effect<br>on morale/external bodies;<br>Expertise lost                   | Low | High              | Good record keeping and training of other staff, including rotation of tasks can help, but effect on small organisation could be high          |
|                              | Key staff leave   | As above; Costs and disruption of recruitment   | Low | Low               | Team morale is good and stability good too   |

|                      | Staff fraud/embezzlement                              | As top  | Low    | Low    | Good procedures and regulations continue to minimise this risk, plus internal and external audit overview these areas. |
|----------------------|---|---|--------|--------|--|
|                      | Number of Committee members reduce                    | Loss of confidence and expertise in remaining ones  | Medium | Medium | To continue to build expertise of current Committee and recruit new members.   |
|                      |   |   |        |        |  |
| Governance           | Poor Committee performance                            | Loss of direction of organisation; Involvement of Scottish Housing Regulator; Disaffection of staff / tenants | Low    | High   | To continue with training, effectiveness events and efforts to recruit more new Committee members.                     |
|                      | Poor 'change management'; poor management information | Inability of organisation to change to best serve in the future.  | Low    | Medium | To keep staff and Committee trained and committed and involved.  |
|                      | Inappropriate structure                               | As above  | Low    | Low    | To keep under review regularly   |
|                      |   |   |        |        |  |
| Legal/<br>Compliance | Health & safety breach                                | Injury or death; Prosecution by HSE; insurance claims   | Low    | Medium | To have an active and well managed H+S policy / procedures, audited every 2 years                                      |
| ·                    | Breach of duties e.g. asbestos regulations            | Risk of action by HSE; Adverse publicity  | Low    | Medium | To manage the issue and the risk. To undertake training to follow best practice  |
|                      | Equal opportunities / discrimination claims           | Insurance / legal claims;<br>adverse publicity; effect on<br>victim(s)  | Low    | Medium | To continue with good policies and procedure; to follow best practice through keeping informed and training            |
|                      | Freedom of Information demands                        | Staff time impacts  | Low    | Medium | Keep on top of the issue   |
|                      | GDPR data breach                                      | Bad publicity, regulation, fine. Loss tenant confidence   | Medium | Medium | Use DPO consultancy and follow their advice  |

| Procedure note no:                          |                 | Last reviewed:   |    | 26 November 2019                 |
|---|-----------------|------------------|----|----------------------------------|
| File reference: pfr-i/RiskMap Last updated: |                 | 14 November 2019 |    |                                  |
| Adopted:                                    | 2 November 2001 | Review no:       | 12 | ©Lister Housing Co-operative Ltd |